IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.2157 OF 2005

Maharashtra Rajya Suraksha Rakshak and General Kamgar Union — Petitioners

vs.

Security Guards Board for Gr.Bombay & Thane & ors. — Respondents

Mr.Suresh S. Pakale for the petitioners.

Ms.Lata Desai for respondent no.1.

Mr.Amit Sethi i/b.M/s.Wadia Ghandy & Co. for respondent no.2.

Mr.K.P. Anilkumar for respondent no.3.

CORAM : F.I.REBELLO &
ANOOP V. MOHTA,JJ.

DATED : 20th November, 2006

P.C.

Respondent no.3 is an exempted agency but the Guards listed at Exhibit "B" are not included in the said exemption notification. Considering that it will be open to respondent no.1 to prosecute respondent no.2 for violation of the provisions of the Security Guards Act.

2. On behalf of respondent no.3, the learned counsel submits that the Guards at Exhibit "B" have applied for exemption and that is pending. On behalf of the petitioners, the learned counsel disputes the same. At any rate, at the highest, it will be open to the

petitioners to apply to respondent no.1 for registration if they are so advised and if such application is made, then the same to be disposed of according to law not later than eight weeks from the date of the application.

3. With the above observation, petition stands disposed of.

(F.I. REBELLO, J.)

(ANOOP V. MOHTA,J.)